

IMPROVEMENT OF MYCIELSKI'S INEQUALITY FOR NON-NATURAL DISJOINT COVERING SYSTEMS OF \mathbb{Z}

Ivan KOREC

*Department of Algebra, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Comenius, 842 15
Bratislava, Czechoslovakia*

Received 28 November 1983

Revised 24 February 1986

If n_i is a modulus of a DCS S , then by the Mycielski's inequality $\text{card}(S) \geq 1 + \mathcal{F}(n_i)$ (see eq. (1.2) for \mathcal{F}) and the equality can be reached. This inequality is strengthened to $\text{card}(S) \geq 6 + \mathcal{F}(n_i)$ for every non-natural DCS S of the group $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ of integers. Moreover, if p_3 is the third smallest prime divisor of the common modulus of S , then $\text{card}(S) \geq 1 + p_3 + \mathcal{F}(n_i)$. Further, (natural) DCS S of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ are characterized for which $\text{card}(S) = 1 + \mathcal{F}(n_i)$.

1. Introduction and notation

The symbol \mathbb{Z} will denote the set of integers. The letter D will denote the greatest common divisor and l.c.m. the least common multiple; $a \mid b$ will denote a divides b . For integers $n > 0$, a the symbol $a \pmod{n}$ will denote the congruence class $\{a + nx; x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Although $0 \leq a < n$ is usual, arbitrary a in the term $a \pmod{n}$ is allowed; for example $13 \pmod{7} = -1 \pmod{7} = 6 \pmod{7}$.

The intersection of any two congruence classes $X = a \pmod{m}$, $Y = b \pmod{n}$ is either empty or a congruence class. The first case never takes place if m, n are relatively prime. Further, if X is a subset of Y then the modulus n of Y divides the modulus m of X .

The system

$$a_1 \pmod{n_1}, \quad a_2 \pmod{n_2}, \quad \dots, \quad a_k \pmod{n_k} \quad (1.1)$$

will be called disjoint covering system (abbreviated: DCS) if every integer belongs to exactly one of the classes (1.1). More formally, a DCS is a partition of \mathbb{Z} into finitely many congruence classes; we always assume that these classes are given in (1.1) without repetition. The integers n_1, \dots, n_k will be called moduli of (1.1) and their least common multiple $m = \text{l.c.m.}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ will be called the common modulus of (1.1). (The common modulus of a DCS need not be its modulus.) The trivial partition $\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ of \mathbb{Z} will be also considered as a (degenerated) DCS.

For every positive integer n denote by Z_n or $Z(n)$ the partition of \mathbb{Z} into the congruence classes modulo n (the symbol $Z(n)$ is sometimes used to avoid double indices). Particularly, $Z_2 = \{0 \pmod{2}, 1 \pmod{2}\}$ and $Z_1 = \{0 \pmod{1}\} = \{\mathbb{Z}\}$.

The number of elements of a set X will be denoted $\text{card}(X)$. Hence if S is the DCS (1.1), then $\text{card}(S) = k$.

For every positive integer n we denote

$$\mathcal{F}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i(p_i - 1), \quad (1.2)$$

if $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$ is the standard form of n . Hence, for example, $\mathcal{F}(n) < n$ and $\mathcal{F}(m \cdot n) = \mathcal{F}(m) + \mathcal{F}(n)$ for all positive integers m, n . It was conjectured in [3] and proved in [6] that

$$\text{card}(S) \geq 1 + \mathcal{F}(n_i) \quad (1.3)$$

for every DCS S and any of its modulus n_i . It was conjectured in [7] and proved in [1] that for every DCS S with the common modulus n

$$\text{card}(S) \geq 1 + \mathcal{F}(n). \quad (1.4)$$

As an immediate consequence we have that equality in (1.3) is possible only if $n_i = n$; Theorem 4.1 will give a more detailed information.

A DCS S will be called natural (abbreviation NDCS) if there is a finite sequence $S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r$ of DCS such that $S_0 = Z_1$, $S_r = S$ and for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ there is a prime p_i and a congruence class $a_i \pmod{n_i} \in S_i$ such that

$$S_{i+1} = (S_i - \{a_i \pmod{n_i}\}) \cup \{a_i + n_i x \pmod{p_i n_i} : 0 \leq x < p_i\}. \quad (1.5)$$

(Informally: S_{i+1} arises from S_i by partitioning of one of its classes into p_i classes of equal moduli.) The DCS which are not natural will be called non-natural. The notion of NDCS was introduced by Porubský [4]; he also found a non-natural DCS with the common modulus 30.

The main result of the present paper will be the following improvement of (1.3) for non-natural DCS.

Theorem 1.1. *If S is a non-natural DCS of $(Z, +)$ and n_i is one of its moduli, then*

$$\text{card}(S) \geq 6 + \mathcal{F}(n_i). \quad (1.6)$$

Hence every non-natural DCS has at least five elements more than (1.3) states. The constant 6 is the best possible, because for the DCS S consisting of

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \pmod{6}, \quad 4 \pmod{6}, \quad 1 \pmod{10}, \quad 3 \pmod{10}, \quad 7 \pmod{10}, \\ &9 \pmod{10}, \quad 0 \pmod{15}, \quad 5 \pmod{30}, \quad 6 \pmod{30}, \quad 12 \pmod{30}, \\ &18 \pmod{30}, \quad 24 \pmod{30}, \quad 25 \pmod{30}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\text{card}(S) = 13 = 6 + 7 = 6 + \mathcal{F}(30)$.

The second section of the present paper contains some notions and results concerning so called irreducible DCS. The notion of so called Mycielski's abundance of a DCS is also introduced. It is useful in the study of improvements

of (1.3). The most substantial part of the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be its proof for the case when S is irreducible. This is done, in a weaker form (with 5 instead of 6), in the third section. In the fourth section the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. Finally, a strengthening of Theorem 1.1 is formulated which uses not only a modulus of S but also its common modulus.

2. Irreducible DCS and splitting

We begin with some definitions and results from [2].

Definition 2.1. (a) Let S_2, S_3 be DCS, let $b \pmod{d} \in S_2$ and let S_1 be the DCS (1.1). We shall say that S_3 arises by the b -splitting of S_2 by S_1 , and write

$$S_3 = \text{Split}(S_2, b, S_1)$$

$$\text{if } S_3 = (S_2 - \{b \pmod{d}\}) \cup \{b + a_i d \pmod{n_i d} : i \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}.$$

(b) For arbitrary DCS T_1, T_2, T_3 we shall write $\text{Split}(T_1, a_1, T_2, a_2, T_3)$ instead of $\text{Split}(\text{Split}(T_1, a_1, T_2), a_2, T_3)$ and analogously for a greater number of splittings. Further, we define $\text{Split}(S) = S$ for every DCS S .

The last part of this definition will be necessary e.g. in Theorem 2.4.

Examples 2.2. $\text{Split}(Z_2, 1, Z_3)$ consists of

$$0 \pmod{2}, \quad 1 \pmod{6}, \quad 3 \pmod{6}, \quad 5 \pmod{6},$$

and $\text{Split}(Z_2, 1, Z_3, 1, Z_2)$ consists of

$$0 \pmod{2}, \quad 1 \pmod{12}, \quad 7 \pmod{12}, \quad 3 \pmod{6}, \quad 5 \pmod{6}.$$

Definition 2.3. A DCS (1.1) will be called reducible if there is $X \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$, $1 < \text{card}(X) < k$ such that $\bigcup \{a_i \pmod{n_i} : i \in X\}$ is a congruence class. A DCS (1.1) will be called irreducible disjoint covering system (abbreviated: IDCS) if $k > 1$ and the DCS (1.1) is not reducible.

For example, Z_4 is reducible because $0 \pmod{4} \cup 2 \pmod{4} = 0 \pmod{2}$. The partition Z_1 is neither IDCS nor reducible DCS, analogously as the integer 1 is neither prime nor composite.

By induction with respect to $\text{card}(S)$ we can prove:

Theorem 2.4. For every DCS S there are IDCS S_1, \dots, S_r and integers b_1, \dots, b_r such that

$$S = \text{Split}(Z_1, b_1, S_1, \dots, b_r, S_r). \quad (2.4)$$

To obtain more comprehensive notation we can extend Definition 2.1 as follows.

Definition 2.5. If S_1, S_2 are DCS and $X = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ is a finite set of integers such that b_i, b_j belong to different elements of S_1 whenever $i \neq j$ then we shall also write $\text{Split}(S_1, X, S_2)$ or $\text{Split}(S_1, \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}, S_2)$ instead of

$$\text{Split}(S_1, b_1, S_2, b_2, S_2, \dots, b_k, S_2). \quad (2.5)$$

We shall also use $\text{Split}(S_1, X_1, \dots, S_k, X_k, S_{k+1})$ analogously to 2.1.b.

A DCS S is natural if and only if there are integers a_1, \dots, a_k and primes n_1, \dots, n_k such that

$$S = \text{Split}(Z(1), a_1, Z(n_1), a_2, Z(n_2), \dots, a_k, Z(n_k)).$$

The representation of a DCS S in the form (2.4) is not unique. Moreover, there are NDCS which have a representation (2.4) where some of S_j are non-natural IDCS.

Theorem 2.6 (a) *If S is a non-natural DCS, then its common modulus is divisible by at least three different primes, and every modulus of S is divisible by at least two different primes.*

(b) *The greatest common divisor of all moduli of a non-natural IDCS is 1.*

We shall also need the following theorem from [2] it is contained also in [8] in essence.

Theorem 2.7 *A NDCS X is irreducible if and only if $X = Z(p)$ for a prime p .*

Now we shall give some tools for transferring of some results from the irreducible DCS to general ones.

Theorem 2.8 *Let for DCS S, S_1, \dots, S_r (not necessarily irreducible) and integers b_1, \dots, b_r (2.4) hold. Then*

$$\text{card}(S) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^r (\text{card}(S_j) - 1). \quad (2.8.1)$$

Further, for every modulus m of S there are positive integers m_1, \dots, m_r such that

$$m = m_1 \cdots m_r, \quad (2.8.2)$$

and for every $j = 1, \dots, r$, the integer m_j is either 1 or a modulus of S_j .

Proof. We shall use induction with respect to n . For $n = 0$ (when $S = Z_1$) the theorem obviously holds. For the induction step in (2.8.2) it suffices to realize

that every modulus of $S' = \text{split}(S, b_{n+1}, S_{n+1})$ is either a modulus of S or the product of a modulus of S and a modulus of S_{n+1} . For (2.8.1) it suffices to realize that S' is obtained from S by deleting of one congruence class, and adding $\text{card}(S_{n+1})$ new ones. \square

Definition 2.9 For arbitrary DCS S consisting of the congruence classes (1.1) denote

$$Ab_M(S) = \min\{\text{card}(S) - 1 - \mathcal{F}(n_i); i = 1, \dots, k\}. \quad (2.9)$$

$Ab_M(S)$ will be called the Mycielski's abundance of S .

Using this notion, (1.6) can be expressed in the form $Ab_M(S) \geq 5$.

Theorem 2.10 For every DCS S the Mycielski's abundance $Ab_M(S)$ is nonnegative. Further, if (2.4) holds, then

$$Ab_M(S) \geq \sum_{j=1}^r Ab_M(S_j). \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. The inequality $Ab_M(S) \geq 0$ is only a reformulation of (1.3). To prove (2.10) consider a modulus $m = n_i$ of S , and introduce the integers m_1, \dots, m_r from Theorem (2.4). If m_j is a modulus of S_j then we have

$$\text{card}(S_j) - 1 - \mathcal{F}(m_j) \geq Ab_M(S_j)$$

however, this inequality obviously holds also if $m_j = 1$, hence it holds for all $j = 1, \dots, r$. Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \text{card}(S_j) - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^r \mathcal{F}(m_j) \geq \sum_{j=1}^r Ab_M(S_j).$$

Now by (2.8.1) and (2.8.2) we have

$$\text{card}(S) - 1 - \mathcal{F}(m) \geq \sum_{j=1}^r Ab_M(S_j).$$

The latest inequality holds for every modulus m of S , and hence (2.10) holds. \square

Remark 2.11. Analogously as Ab_M we can define the Mycielski–Znám abundance

$$Ab_{MZ}(S) = \text{card}(S) - 1 - \mathcal{F}(m),$$

where m is the common modulus of the DCS S . The analogon of Theorem 2.10 can be proved. Further, obviously $Ab_{MZ}(S) \leq Ab_M(S)$ for every DCS S . Examples with $<$ can be easily found. Nevertheless, we state the hypothesis $Ab_{MZ}(S) \geq 5$ for every non-natural DCS S of the group $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$. (I.e., (1.6) holds also if n_i is replaced by the common modulus of S .)

3. The first improvement

Lemma 3.1. *Let p be a prime, n_1, \dots, n_s be positive integers not divisible by p , m be a positive integer, a, b, a_1, \dots, a_s be integers and let*

$$b \pmod{p} - \bigcup_{i=1}^s a_i \pmod{n_i} = a \pmod{pm}. \quad (3.1.1)$$

Then $p \nmid m$ and

$$b \pmod{1} - \bigcup_{i=1}^s a_i \pmod{n_i} = a \pmod{m}. \quad (3.1.2)$$

Proof. Notice that $b \pmod{1} = 0 \pmod{1} = \mathbb{Z}$. Denote $c = a + pn_1 \cdots n_s$. Since $a \notin a_i \pmod{n_i}$ and $c \equiv a \pmod{n_i}$ we have $c \notin a_i \pmod{n_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Since $a \in b \pmod{p}$ and $c \equiv a \pmod{p}$ we have $c \in b \pmod{p}$. Therefore $c \in a \pmod{pm}$, and hence $pm \mid c - a = pn_1 \cdots n_s$. The last formula implies $m \mid n_1 \cdots n_s$, and hence $p \nmid m$.

Now we shall show that for every i the congruence classes $a_i \pmod{n_i}$ and $a \pmod{m}$ are disjoint. If they are not, then $p \nmid n_i$, $p \nmid m$ imply

$$b \pmod{p} \cap a_i \pmod{n_i} \cap a \pmod{m} \neq \emptyset$$

which contradicts (3.1.1).

It remains to show that the set

$$\mathbb{Z} - (a \pmod{m} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^s a_i \pmod{n_i})$$

is empty. If not, it contains a congruence class $d \pmod{n_1 \cdots n_s}$ (remember $m \mid n_1 \cdots n_s$). Since $p \nmid n_1 \cdots n_s$ we can arrange $d \in b \pmod{p}$, which contradicts (3.1.1). \square

Notice that $a_i \pmod{n_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, s$ need not be disjoint in Lemma 3.1. Hence this lemma can be useful also for covering systems of \mathbb{Z} . We shall reformulate this lemma also for cyclic groups.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a cyclic group, H its maximal subgroup, F be a subgroup of G , let G_1, \dots, G_s be subgroups of G which are not contained in H , let a, a_1, \dots, a_s, b be elements of G and*

$$bH - \bigcup_{i=1}^s a_i G_i = aF. \quad (3.2.1)$$

Then there is a subgroup F_1 of G which is not contained in F such that $F = F_1 \cap H$

and

$$G - \bigcup_{i=1}^s a_i G_i = aF_1. \quad (3.2.2)$$

Since the transformation of 3.1 to 3.2 is straightforward (for example, p , n_i correspond to the indices $[G:H]$, $[G:G_i]$), the proof will be omitted. The following example shows that the word “cyclic” can be neither omitted nor replaced by “finite abelian”.

Example 3.3. Let $G = Z_2^3$, $H = Z_2^2 \times \{0\}$, $G_1 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$, $G_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1)\}$ and $F = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0)\}$. Then

$$H - ((0, 1, 1)G_1 \cup (1, 1, 1)G_2) = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0)\}$$

is a subgroup of H . However

$$G - ((0, 1, 1)G_1 \cup (1, 1, 1)G_2) = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1)\}$$

is not a coset of G by any its subgroup. (Since it contains $(0, 0, 0)$ it suffices to realize that it is not a subgroup of G .)

Lemma 3.4. *If S is a non-natural IDCS, then $Ab_M(S) \geq 4$.*

Proof. Let n_i be a modulus of S . We have to prove

$$\text{card}(S) \geq 5 + \mathcal{F}(n_i). \quad (3.4)$$

Let n be the common modulus of S and p be its greatest prime divisor. Since n is divisible by at least three primes we have $p \geq 5$. Assume that S is (1.1), and that $p \nmid n_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $p \mid n_i$ for $i = s+1, \dots, k$. Since S is irreducible we have $s > 1$ and since $p \mid n$ we have $s < k$. We may also assume $a_i = 0$ without loss of generality.

Consider the partition of Z into p congruence classes $b \pmod{p}$, $b = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. Since $p \mid n$ at least one of these classes contains a member of S . Then each of them must contain a member of S (see e.g. [2]). However, if a class $b \pmod{p}$ contains exactly one member $a_j \pmod{n_j}$ of S , then by Lemma 3.1 the congruence classes

$$a_1 \pmod{n_1}, \dots, a_s \pmod{n_s}, a_j \left(\pmod{\frac{n_j}{p}} \right)$$

form a DCS,

$$a_j \left(\pmod{\frac{n_j}{p}} \right) = \bigcup_{i=s+1}^k a_i \pmod{n_i}$$

and hence S would not be irreducible. Therefore every class $b \pmod{p}$ contains at least two members of S . Therefore there are at least $2 \cdot (p-1)$ members of S which are disjoint with $0 \pmod{p}$.

Now consider $0 \pmod{p}$ as the additive group. The nonempty intersections of the members of S with $0 \pmod{p}$ form a DCS S' of $0 \pmod{p}$, and by the above considerations

$$\text{card}(S) \geq \text{card}(S') + 2 \cdot (p - 1).$$

Since $A = a_i \pmod{n_i} \cap 0 \pmod{p} \neq \emptyset$ we have $A \in S'$, and the index n'_i of A in $0 \pmod{p}$ is n_i if $p \nmid n_i$ and n_i/p if $p \mid n_i$. In both cases we have $\text{card}(S') \geq 1 + \mathcal{F}(n'_i)$, $\mathcal{F}(n'_i) \geq \mathcal{F}(n_i) - \mathcal{F}(p) = \mathcal{F}(n_i) - (p - 1)$, and hence

$$\text{card}(S) \geq \mathcal{F}(n_i) + p$$

However, $p \geq 5$, and hence (3.4) holds. \square

4. Proof of the main theorem

The first theorem of this part is necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.1 but it is also self-interested. It characterizes the DCS with the property $Ab_M(S) = 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let S be a DCS and let $a_i \pmod{n_i}$ be one of its congruence classes. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{card}(S) = 1 + \mathcal{F}(n_i)$;
- (ii) *There is a finite sequence of primes p_1, \dots, p_r such that $n_i = p_1 \cdots p_r$ and $S = \text{Split}(Z_1, a_i, Z(p_1), a_i, Z(p_2), \dots, a_i, Z(p_r))$.*

Further, if (i), (ii) hold, then n_i is the common modulus of S .

Proof. Let $\text{card}(S) = 1 + \mathcal{F}(n_i)$, and let m be the common modulus of S . Obviously $n_i \mid m$. If $n_i \neq m$, then $\mathcal{F}(n_i) < \mathcal{F}(m)$ and $\text{card}(S) < 1 + \mathcal{F}(m)$, which contradicts (1.4). Therefore $m = n_i$. Now let S be represented in the form (2.4) with irreducible S_1, \dots, S_r , and let m_1, \dots, m_r be the integers from Theorem 2.8. Since $Ab_M(S) = 0$, Theorem 2.10 implies $Ab_M(S_j) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, r$. Therefore every S_j is natural (by Lemma 3.4), and hence $S_j = Z(p_j)$ for a prime p_j (by Lemma 2.7). So we obtain

$$S = \text{Split}(Z_1, b_1, Z(p_1), \dots, b_r, Z(p_r)).$$

Now denote by q_j the modulus of this element of $S'_j = \text{Split}(Z_1, b_1, Z(p_1), \dots, b_j, Z(p_j))$ which contains a_i . For every $j = 1, \dots, r$ we have either $q_j = q_{j-1}p_j$ or $q_j = q_{j-1}$. However, $q_0 = 1$ and $q_r = p_1 \cdots p_r$, hence $q_j = q_{j-1}$ for no $j = 1, \dots, r$. Hence by each step from S'_{j-1} to S'_j the congruence class containing a_i is splitted. So every b_j can be replaced by a_i , and (4.1) is obtained. Conversely, let (4.1) hold. Denote

$$T_j = \text{Split}(Z_1, a_i, Z(p_1), \dots, a_i, Z(p_j))$$

for every $j = 0, \dots, r$; hence $T_0 = Z_1$, and $T_r = S$. Then $\text{card}(T_j) = 1 +$

$\mathcal{F}(p_1 \cdots p_j)$ can be easily proved by induction with respect to j . For $j = r$ we obtain (i). \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let S be a DCS such that $Ab_M(S) = 0$. Let A, B be two disjoint congruence classes such that $A \cup B$ is not a congruence class, and $A \cup B$ is the union of a subset of S . Then both A and B are the unions of subsets of S .*

Proof. If $Ab_M(S) = 0$, then $\text{card}(S) = 1 + \mathcal{F}(n_i)$ for a modulus n_i of S , and S can be represented in the form (4.1). Consider the set of all congruence classes of all DCS T_j , $j = 0, \dots, r$ from the proof of Theorem 4.1, and its partial order by the set-theoretical inclusion \subseteq . The Haase diagram of this partial ordered set is a rooted tree. The leaves of this tree are exactly members of $S = T_r$ (of course, they may belong also to some T_j , $j < r$). The other vertices form a finite chain. Let C be the smallest element of this chain which contains $A \cup B$. Since $A \cup B$ is not a congruence class, $A \cup B$ has nonempty intersection with at least two sons of C . At least one of these sons is a leaf of the considered tree; denote it by D . Obviously $D \subseteq A \cup B$. Further, let M be the subset of S such that $A \cup B = \bigcup M$.

Let m be the modulus of C and pm (where p is a suitable prime) be the moduli of its sons. Since $A \subset C$, $B \subset C$ the moduli of A, B are km, lm for suitable integers k, l .

If $A \not\subseteq D$, $B \not\subseteq D$, then $p \nmid k$, $p \nmid l$. However, in this case the moduli of $A \cap D$, $B \cap D$ are pkm, plm , respectively, and since $A \cup B \subset C$ and $(A \cap D) \cup (B \cap D) = D$ we have

$$\frac{1}{km} + \frac{1}{lm} < \frac{1}{m}, \quad \frac{1}{pkm} + \frac{1}{plm} = \frac{1}{pm},$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $A \subseteq D$ or $B \subseteq D$. Further assume $A \subseteq D$, $B \not\subseteq D$ (both inclusions cannot hold).

If $B \cap D \neq \emptyset$ then since $D = A \cup (B \cap D)$ the moduli of both $A, B \cap D$ are equal $2pm$. Consequently, B has the modulus $2m$. Hence $p \neq 2$ (otherwise $B = D$). But then we have at least one more leaf D_1 with the modulus pm . We have $\emptyset \neq B \cap D_1 \subset D_1$, $A \cap D_1 = \emptyset$, hence $\emptyset \neq (A \cup B) \cap D_1 \subset D_1$ which is a contradiction.

Finally, if $A \subseteq D$ and $B \cap D = \emptyset$, then $A = D$ and hence $A = \bigcup \{D\}$, $B = \bigcup (M - \{D\})$. \square

Remark 4.3. The condition $Ab_M(S) = 0$ cannot be replaced by “ S is natural” in Lemma 4.2. To show that it suffices to consider $S = \{0 \pmod{15}\} \cup \{i \pmod{30}; 1 \leq |i| \leq 14\}$ and $A = 0 \pmod{6}$, $B = 5 \pmod{10}$.

Lemma 4.4. *If A, B, C, D are congruence classes, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $C \cap D = \emptyset$ and $A \cup B = C \cup D$, then $\{A, B\} = \{C, D\}$.*

Proof. Denote $X = A \cap C$, $Y = A \cap D$, $Z = B \cap C$, $T = B \cap D$. Each of the sets X, Y, Z, T is either empty or a congruence class. Further, $A = X \cup Y$, $B = Z \cup T$, $C = X \cup Z$, $D = Y \cup T$. Since $A \neq \emptyset$ we have $X \neq \emptyset$ or $Y \neq \emptyset$, and analogously for B, C, D . If $X \neq \emptyset$, $Y \neq \emptyset$, then the moduli x, y of X, Y are multiples of the modulus n of A and $1/x + 1/y = 1/n$, which easily implies $x = y = 2n$. Analogously, if Y, Z are nonempty then their moduli are equal, etc.

Let at least three of the sets X, Y, Z, T be non-empty; for example let $X \neq \emptyset$, $Y \neq \emptyset$, $Z \neq \emptyset$. If m, n are moduli of A, C , then the modulus of X must be simultaneously $2m$ and $2n$, hence $m = n$. Therefore, $A, C \in Z(m)$, and since $A \cap C = X \neq \emptyset$ we have $A = C = X$, which is a contradiction. Hence at most two of X, Y, Z, T are non-empty.

We can easily see that either $X \neq \emptyset$, $T \neq \emptyset$, or $Y \neq \emptyset$, $Z \neq \emptyset$. In the first case we have $A = C$, $B = D$ and in the other one $A = D$, $B = C$. Hence in both cases $\{A, B\} = \{C, D\}$. \square

Lemma 4.5. Let $p > 2$ be a prime, n_1, \dots, n_s be positive integers not divisible by p , m_1, m_2 be positive integers, $a_1, \dots, a_s, b, c_1, c_2$ be integers, $c_1 \pmod{pm_1} \cap c_2 \pmod{pm_2} = \emptyset$ and

$$b \pmod{p} - \bigcup_{i=1}^s a_i \pmod{n_i} = c_1 \pmod{pm_1} \cup c_2 \pmod{pm_2}. \quad (4.5.1)$$

Then $p \nmid m_1$, $p \nmid m_2$, $c_1 \pmod{m_1} \cap c_2 \pmod{m_2} = \emptyset$ and

$$b \pmod{1} - \bigcup_{i=1}^s a_i \pmod{n_i} = c_1 \pmod{m_1} \cup c_2 \pmod{m_2}. \quad (4.5.2)$$

Proof. Denote the least common multiple of n_1, \dots, n_s by n . The left side of (4.5.1) is a union of several congruence classes modulo pn . Now distinguish two cases. If one of these classes has nonempty intersections with both $c_1 \pmod{pm_1}$, $c_2 \pmod{pm_2}$, then these intersections are congruence classes of the form

$$d_1 \pmod{pm_1e_1}, \quad d_2 \pmod{pm_2e_2}.$$

Since their union is a congruence class modulo pn we have $pm_1e_1 = pm_2e_2 = 2pn$, and then, obviously, $p \nmid m_1$, $p \nmid m_2$. In the opposite case each of the congruence classes $c_i \pmod{pm_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, contains a congruence class modulo pn . Hence $pm_i \mid pn$, and we also have $p \nmid m_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

If $c_1 \pmod{m_1} \cap c_2 \pmod{m_2} \neq \emptyset$, then there is an integer d such that for all integers e

$$d + em_1m_2 \in c_1 \pmod{m_1} \cap c_2 \pmod{m_2}.$$

Since $p \nmid m_1m_2$ the integer e can be chosen so that $p \mid d + em_1m_2$, and since

$p \mid c_1, p \mid c_2$ we have

$$d + em_1m_2 \in c_1 \pmod{pm_1} \cap c_2 \pmod{pm_2}$$

which is a contradiction.

The proof of (4.5.2) is now very similar to the proof of (3.1.2) and therefore it is omitted. \square

Lemma 4.6. *If S is a non-natural IDCS, then $Ab_M(S) \geq 5$.*

Proof. Compare Lemma 4.6 with Lemma 3.4. The only difference is that we have 5 instead of 4, now. Hence we must only exclude the equality in (3.4). Introduce all notations from the proof of Lemma 3.4. If $\text{card}(S) = 5 + \mathcal{F}(n_i)$, then

- (i) $\text{card}(S') = 1 + \mathcal{F}(n'_i)$;
- (ii) Every class $b \pmod{p}$, $1 \leq b \leq p-1$ contains exactly two members of S ;
- (iii) $p \nmid n/n_i$.

Lemma 4.5 implies that for every $b = 1, \dots, p-1$ there are two disjoint congruence classes X_b, Y_b with the moduli not divisible by p such that

$$X_b \cap b \pmod{p}, \quad Y_b \cap b \pmod{p}$$

are the members of S contained in $b \pmod{p}$. Further, by (4.5.2) we have

$$X_b \cup Y_b = Z - \bigcup_{i=1}^s a_i \pmod{n_i}.$$

Therefore $X_b \cup Y_b$ does not depend on b , hence $X_b \cup Y_b = X_1 \cup Y_1$. Then Lemma 4.4 implies $\{X_b, Y_b\} = \{X_1, Y_1\}$, and we may assume $X_b = Y_1, Y_b = X_1$. Now consider the congruence classes

$$A = X_1 \cap 0 \pmod{p}, \quad B = Y_1 \cup 0 \pmod{p}.$$

The set $A \cup B$ is the union of a proper subset of S . Since S is irreducible, $A \cup B$ is not a congruence class. We shall apply Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.1 to the DCS S' of $0 \pmod{p}$. (To be quite precise, we have to apply them to the DCS S'' of Z which is induced from S' by the isomorphism $x \mapsto x/p$ of the additive group $0 \pmod{p}$ onto Z .) Then we obtain that A, B are unions of some proper subsets of S' . Hence X, Y are unions of some proper subsets of S . However, S is irreducible, which is a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Represent the DCS S in the form (2.4) with irreducible S_1, \dots, S_r . At least one of S_j is non-natural, and hence $Ab_M(S_j) \geq 5$ for this S_j . Now Theorem 2.10 implies $Ab_M(S) \geq 5$, which immediately gives (1.6). \square

Remark 4.7. Theorem 2.10 and Lemma 4.6 also make possible to estimate the

number of non-natural DCS S_j in any representation (2.4) of a DCS S . This number is at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{3}Ab_M(S) \rfloor$.

The right-hand side of (1.6) in Theorem 1.1 does not depend on the common modulus of S . If we allow such a dependence we can formulate a stronger result:

Theorem 4.8. *Let S be a non-natural DCS, let $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$ be the list of all prime divisors of the common modulus of S and let n_i be a modulus of S . Then*

$$\text{card}(S) \geq 1 + p_3 + \mathcal{F}(n_i).$$

The inequality $k \geq 3$ (and hence, the existence of p_3) follows from Theorem 2.6(a). In Lemma 3.4 we may replace 5 by p_3 because $p \geq p_3$. The proof need not be changed in essence.

References

- [1] I. Korec, On a generalization of Mycielski's and Znám's conjectures about coset decomposition of Abelian groups, *Fund. Math.* 85 (1974) 41–48.
- [2] I. Korec, Irreducible disjoint covering systems, *Acta Arithmetica* 44 (1984) 389–395.
- [3] J. Mycielski and W. Sierpinski, Sur une propriété des ensembles linéaires, *Fund. Math.* 58 (1966) 143–147.
- [4] Š. Porubský, Natural exactly covering systems of congruences, *Czech. Math. J.* 24(1974) 598–606.
- [5] Š. Porubský, Results and problems on covering systems of residue classes, *Mitt. Math. Semin. Giessen* 150 (1981) 1–85.
- [6] Š. Znám, A remark to a problem of J. Mycielski on arithmetic sequences, *Coll. Math.* 20 (1969) 67–70.
- [7] Š. Znám, On exactly covering systems of arithmetic sequences, *Colloquia Mathematica Soc. J. Bolyai* 2, Number Theory (1968) 221–224.
- [8] Š. Znám, A survey of covering systems of congruences, *Acta Math. Univ. Comen.* 40–41 (1982) 59–79.